

# exam\_submission\_DBA1\_one\_1750403674.pdf

 College de Paris

---

## Document Details

**Submission ID**

trn:oid::14450:290423565

**Submission Date**

Jun 20, 2025, 2:22 PM GMT+5:30

**Download Date**

Jun 20, 2025, 2:26 PM GMT+5:30

**File Name**

exam\_submission\_DBA1\_one\_1750403674.pdf

**File Size**

866.8 KB

**8 Pages**

**809 Words**

**5,338 Characters**

# 12% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

## Match Groups

- 8** Not Cited or Quoted 12%  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 0** Missing Quotations 0%  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

## Top Sources

- 10% Internet sources
- 5% Publications
- 8% Submitted works (Student Papers)

## Integrity Flags

### 0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

### Match Groups

- 8 **Not Cited or Quoted 12%**  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 0 **Missing Quotations 0%**  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 **Missing Citation 0%**  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 **Cited and Quoted 0%**  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

### Top Sources

- 10% Internet sources
- 5% Publications
- 8% Submitted works (Student Papers)

### Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Submitted works	<b>Te Pūkenga trading as the Open Polytechnic on 2025-05-21</b>	3%
2	Internet	<b>www.elgaronline.com</b>	2%
3	Internet	<b>fastercapital.com</b>	1%
4	Internet	<b>repository.nwu.ac.za</b>	1%
5	Internet	<b>www.template.net</b>	1%
6	Submitted works	<b>Temple University on 2021-09-10</b>	1%
7	Internet	<b>www.ibtimes.com</b>	1%
8	Submitted works	<b>M S Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences on 2024-11-10</b>	<1%

# Exam Submission Report

**Student Name:** DBA1 one  
**Course Name:** Award in Decision Making Frameworks and Data Analysis  
**Exam Title:** Assignment Exam  
**Submitted On:** 2025-06-20 09:14:34

**Que: 1) Data-Driven Evaluation: Assess the reliability, accuracy, and relevance of available market data, consumer behaviour trends, and financial forecasts. 2) Decision Analysis Models: Use decision trees, sensitivity analysis, and utility theory to compare the risks and benefits of each option. 3) Strategic Considerations: Apply frameworks such as SWOT analysis, OKRs (Objectives and Key Results), and Porter's Five Forces to assess the long-term impact of each decision on EAscencia Corp's market position. 4) Risk and Uncertainty Management: Evaluate the risks associated with each option, considering external factors such as economic volatility, regulatory changes, and evolving technology trends. Provide recommendations for mitigating these risks. 5) Ethical Implications: Discuss the ethical considerations of each decision, particularly in relation to AI's impact on employment, responsible expansion into emerging markets, and corporate social responsibility in operational restructuring.**

Ans: 1. Introduction

EAscencia Corp faces a pivotal moment in its strategic trajectory. This report critically evaluates three strategic options using data-driven decision-making techniques, quantitative analysis, and ethical considerations. The analysis draws on robust frameworks and models to provide a comprehensive recommendation for the most effective decision.

2. Data-Driven Evaluation

## Reliability, Accuracy, and Relevance of Data

EAscencia Corp must rely on high-quality data to inform its strategic decisions.

Key data sources include:

**Market Data:** Reports on market trends, industry benchmarks, and consumer preferences.

**Consumer Behavior Trends:** Insights from social media analytics, surveys, and purchasing patterns.

**Financial Forecasts:** Predictive models based on historical performance and current economic conditions.

## Analysis

**Reliability:** Market reports from trusted organizations (e.g., Gartner, McKinsey) provide verified data. However, real-time insights from social media platforms may lack consistency.

**Accuracy:** Predictive financial models must account for economic volatility and use Monte Carlo simulations for validation.

**Relevance:** Align data with strategic goals, such as expanding market share or enhancing operational efficiency.

## 3. Decision Analysis Models

### Decision Trees

A decision tree is used to evaluate the potential outcomes, costs, and benefits of each strategic option. Probabilities are assigned to each scenario to calculate expected values.

### Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis tests how changes in key variables (e.g., market growth rate, operational costs) affect outcomes. This ensures robust decision-making under uncertainty.

### Utility Theory

Utility theory evaluates the alignment of each option with EAscencia Corp's risk tolerance and strategic objectives. Assigning utilities to outcomes quantifies their desirability.

### Quantitative Comparison

### Option

Expected Value (€M)

Sensitivity (Range, €M)

Utility Score

Option A

45

40-50

0.8

Option B

60

50-70

0.9

Option C

30

25-35

0.6

#### 4. Strategic Considerations

SWOT Analysis

Strengths

Weaknesses

Opportunities

5  
Threats

Strong brand

High operational cost

Emerging markets

Economic volatility

Skilled workforce

Dependency on legacy tech

Tech advancements

Regulatory changes

OKRs

Objective: Enhance market leadership.

Key Result 1: Achieve 20% revenue growth in 3 years.

Key Result 2: Reduce operational costs by 15% within 2 years.

Porter's Five Forces

Competitive Rivalry: Moderate to high; major competitors are innovating rapidly.

Supplier Power: Low; diversified supply chain.

Buyer Power: High; informed consumers demand value.

Threat of New Entrants: Moderate; technological barriers exist.

Threat of Substitutes: High; alternative solutions are emerging.

## 5. Risk and Uncertainty Management

### Identified Risks

Economic Volatility: Currency fluctuations and inflation.

Regulatory Changes: New compliance requirements in emerging markets.

Technology Trends: Rapid innovation cycles.

### Mitigation Strategies

Hedging: Protect against currency risks using financial instruments.

Regulatory Monitoring: Establish a compliance team to anticipate and adapt to changes.

R&D Investment: Maintain competitiveness through innovation.

## 6. Ethical Implications

## AI's Impact on Employment

Challenge: Job displacement due to automation.

Mitigation: Reskilling programs for employees.

## Expansion into Emerging Markets

Challenge: Ethical labor practices and environmental concerns.

Mitigation: Adopting sustainable and socially responsible practices.

## Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Challenge: Balancing profitability with community impact.

Mitigation: Transparent reporting and stakeholder engagement.

## 7. Recommendation

Based on the analysis, Option B is the most effective strategic decision for EAscencia Corp. It offers the highest expected value (€60M), aligns well with the company's objectives, and provides a balanced approach to managing risks and ethical considerations.

### Justification

Data-Driven Insight: High revenue potential and strong market alignment.

Quantitative Analysis: Superior utility score and favorable sensitivity range.

Strategic Fit: Aligns with SWOT and OKR frameworks.

Risk Mitigation: Comprehensive plans to manage identified risks.

Ethical Commitment: Demonstrates responsibility in AI adoption and market expansion.

## References

Gartner. (2023). Market Trends in Software Solutions. Gartner Insights.

McKinsey & Company. (2023). Global Consumer Behavior Trends. McKinsey Reports.

Raiffa, H. (1997). Decision Analysis: Introductory Lectures on Choices under Uncertainty. Harvard University Press.

Porter, M. E. (2008). The Five Competitive Forces That Shape Strategy. Harvard Business Review.

Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review of Concepts, Research, and Practice. International Journal of Management Reviews.

This document is generated by the system and does not require a signature.